	H985shaV	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	New York, N.Y.
4	V.	17 Cr. 330 (AKH)
5	DAVID SHALAM,	
6	Defendant.	
7	x	
8		September 8, 2017 12:00 p.m.
9		
10	Before:	
11	HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN,	
12		District Judge
13		
14	APPEARANCES	
15	JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: ALISON G. MOE	
16		
17	Assistant United States Attorn	ney
18	BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Attorney for Defendant	
19	BY: BENJAMIN BRAFMAN JACOB KAPLAN	
20	OACOD KALLIAN	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your appearances for the record.

MS. MOE: Good morning, your Honor. Allison Moe for the government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BRAFMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Benjamin Brafman and Jacob Kaplan for Mr. Shalam who is present in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Good morning, gentlemen. Good morning, Mr. Shalam.

What are we doing today, Ms. Moe?

MS. MOE: Your Honor, we last appeared on this case on May 25th, at which time the defendant waived indictment and was arraigned on a four-count felony information. At that time we asked the Court to adjourn the case until today's date so that the parties could discuss a possible resolution of that matter. So far we have not made much headway in that regard, however I am still confident that we could possibly reach resolution in this case and we would like to continue those discussions.

THE COURT: Who is negotiating harder, you or Mr. Brafman?

MR. BRAFMAN: Your Honor, if I may, it is a question of us having -- we are both negotiating very hard with respect to our different positions. It isn't merely a matter of

negotiation. We have used the time your Honor graciously gave us to have Mr. Shalam evaluated by a very responsible, well-respected psychiatrist so that we could understand what drove him to become involved in these serious offenses given the fact that he has an unblemished prior criminal record and comes from a very good family and is otherwise responsible citizen. The evaluation has been done. We are expecting a final report sometime next week. It was delayed to some degree because it is difficult to do it in the confines of the MDC in terms of scheduling through no fault of the Bureau of Prisons, just the Doctor required more time than it would have taken had it been done at his office. But, the report appears in draft, he believes he will have a final report by next week.

We have spoken with Ms. Moe in terms of presenting a mitigation package to the United States Attorney's office which would include, obviously, the report as the centerpiece in an effort to persuade them to allow for disposition that does not include the highest mandatory minimum that's currently charged in the information. We are not there yet. We spoke, I think, yesterday or the day before, and Ms. Moe explained the procedure that once we submit the report to her, she will review it, submit her own recommendation, then goes before a mitigation committee, if you will, we would have a right to appear there as well, assuming they don't accept our proposal and at some point we would report back to the Court, we either

22

23

24

25

1 do or do not have an agreement. 2 THE COURT: How about a three-month adjournment? 3 MR. BRAFMAN: I think that would give us certainly enough time to get this done. 4 5 THE COURT: Ms. Moe. MR. BRAFMAN: And if we get it done sooner we would 6 7 advise the Court and you could possibly advance the case. THE COURT: Should I give you 60 days' time? 8 9 MR. BRAFMAN: I am told that the process takes a month 10 once we get the report to the United States Attorney's office. 11 I don't know whether I will have to go higher in the office or 12 be allowed to go higher in the office than the mitigation 13 committee, but I believe 60 or 90 days would give us enough 14 time to get this done correctly, sir. THE COURT: How about November 17 at 11:00? 15 16 MS. MOE: Thank you, your Honor. That works well. 17 MR. BRAFMAN: Yes. At 11:00, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BRAFMAN: Your Honor, I have a hearing that date 19 20 that is scheduled for 9:00, I don't know whether it is a half 21 hour hearing or a two-hour hearing.

THE COURT: In this court?

MR. BRAFMAN: No, it is in the state court and you know you take precedence but it is hard to explain that sometimes over there.

25

1	THE COURT: No, I wouldn't bother.	
2	MR. BRAFMAN: If you do it later in the morning it	
3	will be fine.	
4	THE COURT: I am flexible for that you come over when	
5	you come over.	
6	MR. BRAFMAN: Okay.	
7	THE COURT: Let us know, call us.	
8	MR. BRAFMAN: I think if I am done sooner	
9	THE COURT: We will set it for 11:00 but come when you	
10	have the time.	
11	MR. BRAFMAN: Thank you, sir.	
12	THE COURT: And motion to exclude time, Ms. Moe?	
13	MR. BRAFMAN: Yes.	
14	MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: For the reasons outlined before?	
16	MS. MOE: That's correct, your Honor; in order to	
17	permit the parties to continue discussing resolution of this	
18	matter.	
19	MR. BRAFMAN: That's right.	
20	THE COURT: Without objection, so ordered.	
21	MR. BRAFMAN: Thank you, sir.	
22	MS. MOE: Thank you, your Honor.	
23	THE COURT: You're welcome.	
24	000	
0.5		